

ANIMAL CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DIS	COVERY (CI)		
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAI	NT NO:		
AIRS ID#: 0112719 DA	TE: <u>4/30/10</u>	ARRIVE: <u>730</u>	DEPART: <u>1420</u>		
FACILITY NAME: OA	KLAND PARK FACILITY				
FACILITY LOCATION	4868 NE 12TH AVE				
	OAKLAND PARK 3	33334-4804			
OWNER/AUTHORIZE	D REPRESENTATIVE: JEN	NNIFER COLLINS P	PHONE: (954)612-6003		
CONTACT NAME:		P	PHONE:		
ENTITLEMENT PERIO	OD: 10/18/2009 / 10/18/2 (effective date) (end date)	014			
IN COMPLIANO	CE MINOR Non-COM		IFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	3	
PART II. TESTING/RE	CORDKEEPING REQUIRE	MFNTS _ Rule 62-296 /	401 F A C		
PART II: TESTING/RE (check ☑ appropriate	CORDKEEPING REQUIRE te box(es))	<u> MENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.	401, F.A.C.		
(check appropriat1. Were there any ob	te box(es)) rjectionable odor(s) detected?		·	Yes	⊠ No
 (check ☑ appropriat Were there any ob Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?- 	te box(es)) ijectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during thi	s site visit according to E	PA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	☐ Yes	⊠ No
 (check ☑ appropriat Were there any ob Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?- In order to demons days prior to the A 	te box(es)) rjectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during thi strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submissi	s site visit according to E ance, was an annual visiblion, and within 60 days pr	PA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter le emissions test conducted 60 rior to each anniversary date? (— ⊠Yes Rule	☐ No
 (check ☑ appropriat Were there any ob Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?- In order to demons days prior to the A 62-296.401(6)(j), In order to demons to demons a content of the A force of the A	te box(es)) spectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during thi strate individual source compliant of the compliant o	as site visit according to Elance, was an annual visiblion, and within 60 days prants	PA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter le emissions test conducted 60 rior to each anniversary date? (— ⊠Yes Rule ⊠Yes	□ No
 (check ☑ appropriat Were there any ob Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?- In order to demondays prior to the A 62-296.401(6)(j), In order to demondation of the A completed within a) Carbon Monox 	te box(es)) ijectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during thi strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submissi F.A.C.) strate individual source complia 60 days prior to the AGP Notificate (CO) emissions equal to or	as site visit according to E ance, was an annual visibl ion, and within 60 days pr ance were the remaining a fication form submission?	PA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter le emissions test conducted 60 rior to each anniversary date? (pplicable standards testing? (Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) of 100 parts per million by	— ⊠Yes Rule ⊠Yes	☐ No
 (check ☑ appropriated) Were there any obening Was a visible emistage of the following 62-297, F.A.C.)?- In order to demonstage of the following 62-296.401(6)(j), In order to demonstage of the following Carbon Monostage of the following Carbon Monostage of the following (Ref.: Chapter) 	te box(es)) ijectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during thi strate individual source complia AGP Notification form submissi F.A.C.) strate individual source complia 60 days prior to the AGP Notificate (CO) emissions equal to or corrected to 7% O ₂ on an hour 62-297, F.A.C.)?	ance, was an annual visible ion, and within 60 days prance were the remaining a fication form submission? below the requirements only average basis and tester	PA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter le emissions test conducted 60 rior to each anniversary date? (Interpolate testing) (Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) (Particularly for the following to EPA Method)	Yes Rule Yes Yes Yes Yes	
 (check ☑ appropriat Were there any ob Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?- In order to demons days prior to the A 62-296.401(6)(j), In order to demons completed within a) Carbon Monox volume, dry basis 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test pec) Particulate mat 	ge box(es)) spectionable odor(s) detected? ssions test conducted during thi	ance, was an annual visible ion, and within 60 days promote were the remaining a fication form submission? below the requirements of the requireme	PA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter le emissions test conducted 60 rior to each anniversary date? (applicable standards testing ? (Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) of 100 parts per million by ed according to EPA Method	Yes Rule Yes Yes Yes	
 (check ☑ appropriat Were there any ob Was a visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?- In order to demondays prior to the A 62-296.401(6)(j), In order to demondays prior to demondays prior to the A 62-296.401 (a)(j), In order to demondate within a) Carbon Monox volume, dry basis (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test per c) Particulate mate dry standard cubic (Ref.: Chapter 62-2 	getionable odor(s) detected?ssions test conducted during thi	ance, was an annual visible ion, and within 60 days promote were the remaining a fication form submission? below the requirements of the submission? The submission is submission? The submission is submission? The submission is submission? The submission is submission.	PA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter le emissions test conducted 60 rior to each anniversary date? (applicable standards testing ? (Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) of 100 parts per million by ed according to EPA Method 297, F.A.C.)? irements of 0.080 grains per ording to EPA Method 5	Yes Rule Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	
(check ☑ appropriate 1. Were there any ob 2. Was a visible emistate 62-297, F.A.C.)?- 3. In order to demonstate days prior to the A 62-296.401(6)(j), 4. In order to demonstate demonstate of the A 62-296.401 (a)(j), 4. In order to demonstate demonstate demonstate day thin a) Carbon Monoxtate volume, dry basis, 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test per c) Particulate material dry standard cubic (Ref.: Chapter 62-25. Was all emissionstate capacity?	getionable odor(s) detected?ssions test conducted during thi	ance, was an annual visible ion, and within 60 days promance were the remaining a fication form submission? To below the requirements of the state o	PA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter le emissions test conducted 60 rior to each anniversary date? (Incomplicable standards testing) ? (Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) of 100 parts per million by ed according to EPA Method 297, F.A.C.)? irements of 0.080 grains per ording to EPA Method 5 facturers recommended	— Yes	
 (check ☑ appropriated) Were there any obenomes Was a visible emission of the Action of t	getionable odor(s) detected?ssions test conducted during thi	ance, was an annual visible ion, and within 60 days properties of the remaining a fication form submission? The below the requirements of the state of the days of the last form of a test report for to the date of the last form to the date of the last form.	PA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter le emissions test conducted 60 rior to each anniversary date? (Interpolate testing) (Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) of 100 parts per million by ed according to EPA Method 297, F.A.C.)? irements of 0.080 grains per ording to EPA Method 5 facturers recommended r an identical crematory unit? rmal compliance test?	— Yes Yes	

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
(eneck is appropriate box(es))		
1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record t		
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber co		
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?		☐ No
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	\boxtimes Yes	☐ No
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the rec		f such
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?		
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	⊠Yes	☐ No
2) Monitoring device	⊠Yes	☐ No
3) Performance Testing Measurements	Yes	☐ No
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation		☐ No
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	Yes	☐ No
6) Adjustments	Yes	□ No
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes	□ No
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes	□ No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one ☑ box)	<u>—</u>	_
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)		
b) On or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)		
3. If constructed BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:		
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F ?	Yes	□ No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F		
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Yes	□ No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature		_
is equal to or greater than 1400° F?	Yes	□ No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to		
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the		
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	□ No
4. If constructed <u>ON</u> or <u>AFTER</u> August 30, 1989 is the:		
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time	e	
@ 1800° F?	⊠Yes	□ No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F	Z 1 05	
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	⊠Yes	□ No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremation	n Zares	
process begins in the primary chamber?	 ⊠Yes	□ No
5. Are appropriate leak-proof containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	V 1 C3	
plastics used during the cremation of dead animals?	$\prod V_{ec}$	⊠ No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that they		2 110
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of		
their use and for at least two years after their use?	□Yes	□ No
b) If plastic bags are used for the cremation of animals are they non-chlorinated and no less than 3 mils	Птсѕ	
thick?	∑ v _{as}	□ No
c) Are dead animals, which have been used for medical or commercial experimentation, or other	⊠Yes	∐ No
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	□ x /	M N₂
materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, F.A.C.), incinerated at this location?	☐Yes	⊠ No
6. During this review period, was the largest batch load cremated 500 pounds per hour or less?	⊠Yes	∐ No
7. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	⊠Yes	∐ No
a) Are copies of the training certificates all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the duration		□ 3.7
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	\boxtimes Yes	☐ No

PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUL A. New or Modified Process Equipment	RES – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.		
 Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment with c) replacement of existing equipment substantial recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 6 local program office? If a crematory unit has been modified to the extent was required, have all operators been retrained to In the case of new or modified equipment, where required, has the owner submitted copies of all operators are united within the 15 day required window 	☐Yes☐Yes☐Yes☐Yes☐Yes☐☐Yes☐☐Yes☐☐Yes☐☐Ye	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No □No ⊠No □No	
C.Pitters	4/30/10		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
	4/30/2011		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspec	etion	

COMMENTS: This is a new facility conducting stack and VE testing. No environmental air violations were observed during CY 2010 compliance inspection.